ry, Paul 11/7/69 Finck's N.O. testimony; supplementary autopsy rpt.

I have completed the very rough draft of my analysis of Finck's N Orleans testimony. It is long (24 pp single spaced), may be loaded th serious typographical errors and also may, because of haste and rk on it being broken up, contain other accidental error. I expect, as would like to, read it carefully and correct it now because there are ler urgent things I should have done while I was doing that and we must now get to them. Without correcting it, I am reluctant to send it t and there is no urgency on that anyway. However, if either or you had y questions, please ask them and I will answer them promptly. My earlier inion is unchanged. It is, in fact, stronger after proper reading.

Among the things that fascinated me is his manner of dealing th the supplemental autopsy report, all of which I will not now go to. On the one hand, he didn't even take a copy with him, although it quired copying but three pages, one of which is the record of transttal. He professes only the most casual knowledge of it, yet when it was his opinion to his interest to make specific reference, he did, quite pplicitly, including even the date of forwarding and by whom. All of this used me to look at the printed copy of CE392 which, as you know, I have lered from a different file than this one. However, this one bears the ber 1221. Now this cannot be CE 1221, for I checked that (on Ruby and late a number). Nor would it seem likely to be a Secret Service xxxx trol number from both the number and the manner of recording it. I ppose it could be from a duplicating files with that many pages, but ls, again, is but a guess and the repeating of the number at top and tom is not consistent with precise there. But, it interests me and I'd te to learn if if can have any significance. There are other things about printed copy that could have significance.

I will not now have time to pursue this further. I write to if either of you has noticed this, if you have any other ideas about t the number can be or mean, and whether, if you can think of any way tracking it down for me, you would, please, for soon I hope to return the autopsy work. That will begin with the rereading of the two books it are done. I'd appreciate it if you would if you can.

I've read much more testimony than either of you, for I spent e years publishing it, aside from the CD stuff. Never have I seen anyas absolutely dishonest, and deliberately evasive-or as successful .t- as Finck. The man on the name is both bad and apt.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg